UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,994	04/08/2004	Tatsuo Kataoka	1217-043819	9043
Kent E. Baldaut	7590 09/18/200 f	EXAMINER		
700 Koppers Bu		PHASGE, ARUN S		
436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10)/820,994	KATAOKA E	KATAOKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		Arı	un S. Phasge	1795				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	ce address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER, FROM THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY IS LONGER TO THE MOST PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY IN THE MOST PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY PRO	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 07 July 2	008					
′=	,		on is non-final.					
3)		<i>7</i> —		atters prosecution as to	o the merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10 and 12</u> is/are pend	ding in the app	lication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-10, 12</u> is/are rejected	1 .						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
		o Evaminar						
-	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed onis/are:		d or b)□ objected t	to by the Evaminer				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop of record for reasons of record.

The intended use limitation of the solution are given little or no patentable weight, because it has been well settled that the intended use of a composition is not patentable significant. *In re Heck* 114 USPQ 161 (CCPA 1957).

With respect to the method claims, the exact type of surface being treated would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, because the patent teaches the treatment of copper surfaces as claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants appear to argue that the composition of Bishop produces a different result than is claimed. However, it is not readily apparent and no further proof is provided that the solution of Bishop would produce a different result. Indeed, the comparative results contained in the specification have no bearing on the present rejection, because the Bishop patent does treat the surface with a solution that is essentially the same.

Applicants further argue that the Bishop composition is not the same, since it does not consist essentially of the claimed components.

The phrase "consisting essentially of" may include any unrecited ingredient which does not affect the basic and novel characteristics of the invention. *Ex parte Davis* 80 USPQ 448. It is unclear from reading the record, whether the addition of the minute amounts of oxidizing agents, including "dissolved air or oxygen" would affect "the basic and novel characteristics of the invention." Indeed any solution would inherently a minute portion of dissolved air therein, unless precautions are taken to insure no dissolution.

Accordingly, since applicants have not provided any comparative results with the present claimed invention and the solution and method taught by Bishop showing the alleged differences, the claims stand rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arun S. Phasge/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

asp